School District Name

Multidisciplinary Evaluation Report (MER) for Students Suspected of Having a Specific Learning Disability

(Form intended for internal use only – data must be entered in CT-SEDS)

(Initial Evaluation)

| Student Name: | | Date of Birth: | Grade: | | | |
|---------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| School: | | | | | | |
| | e following information must be review ction I. Required Evaluation | | ent Team and documented in the appropriate spaces. | | | |
| A. | Parent or Adult Student Input | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| В. | Instructional Strategies and Interventions Used, and Student-Centered Data Collected (Documents included) | | | | | |
| | It is best practice to attach completed Mathematics, Reading, and/or Written Expression Worksheets, as appropriate, to capture all instructional strategies and interventions used, and student-centered data collected, including data-based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction. | | | | | |
| | Document | <u> </u> | Date Generated | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| C. | Educationally Relevant Medical Findings, if any N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| D. | Classroom Observation | | | | | |
| | (A high-quality classroom observation in the student's learning environment (including the general education classroom setting) to document the student's academic performance and behavior in the area(s) of difficulty; the observation shall include any relevant behavior observed and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic functioning, as well as the student's level and type of engagement.) | | | | | |
| | Area of Difficultly | . | Academic Setting(s) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

July 2022 Page **1** of **4**

Student Name: DOB:

| | | Date(s) of Observation | Sta | rt Time | End Time | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Obs | server(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Behavior observed and the relationship to academic functioning: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| E. | Cor | mprehensive Evaluation Information | | | | | | |
| | (A Comprehensive Evaluation is an individualized process (i.e., it should not rely on the same set of prescribed assessments for all students). Additionally, a Comprehensive Evaluation should be designed to include a variety of assessment tools and strategies (i.e., curriculum-based, standardized, criterion-referenced), may not use any single measure or assessment as the sole criterion for making eligibility decisions, and must use instruments that are technically sound for making these decisions.) | | | | | | | |
| | | Assessment | Test/Evaluation Proc | edure | Evaluator Title | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Se | ctio | n II: Eligibility Criteria | | | | | | |
| Res | spon | d to each criterion used to determine | eligibility for students su | uspected of havi | ng a specific learning disability. | | | |
| | | t cannot be determined eligible for special educe been met.) | eation as a student with a Spe | ecific Learning Disab | ility (SLD), unless all of the eligibility cr | riteria | | |
| | teria | | | | Criteria N | Viet | | |
| | | | | | | □ No | | |
| | (If YES, indicate in which academic skill area(s) the student is not achieving adequately:) | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ mathematics calculation ☐ mathematics problem solving ☐ oral expression ☐ written expression ☐ listening comprehension ☐ reading comprehension ☐ reading fluency skills ☐ basic reading skills | | | | | | | |
| В. | The student <u>makes insufficient progress</u> in the area(s) identified above to meet age or Stateapproved grade-level standards, even with scientific, research-based interventions. | | | | | | | |
| | | otudont exhibite a mattern of stress | achievement or both in the a | *** | | | | |
| The student <u>exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses</u> in performance, achievement, or both, <i>in the identified above</i> , relative to age or state-approved grade-level standards that is determined by the PPT to be relevant to the identification of a specific learning disability, using appropriate assessments. | | | | | | rea(S) | | |

July 2022 Page 2 of 4

Multidisciplinary Evaluation Report for Students Suspected of Having a Specific Learning Disability

Student Name: DOB:

| C. | (The PPT must first decide if it has considered both C. 1. and C. 2. as part of the comprehensive evaluation process conduct student's eligibility, and to ensure that the student's underachievement in the area(s) identified in "A," are not due to lack of a in reading, math, and/or writing.) | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The PPT has considered: | | | | |
| | C1. Data that demonstrate that prior to, or as part of the referral process, the student has been provided appropriate instruction, delivered by qualified personnel, in regular education setting. | | | | |
| | C2. Data-based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction has been analyzed and attached, and was provided to parents. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| | (If yes, when/how the above data-based documentation has been provided to parents:) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | C3. Student's parents were notified about the state policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance data collected, general education services provided to the student, strategies for increasing the student's rate of learning, and parent's right to request an evaluation. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| | (If yes, when/how the above information has been provided to parents:) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | C. The student <u>has been provided appropriate instruction</u> (i.e., explicit and systematic instruction in the essential components of reading, math, and writing), delivered by qualified personnel. | n 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | |
| D. | n order to decide 'D', the PPT must first decide whether the student's learning difficulties, in the area(s) identified in "A," are primarily the result of ne or more of the following IDEA exclusionary factors (D. 1.). If all answers to D. 1. (1-6) are "NO", then the student meets exclusionary factors for SLD (D. = YES). Otherwise, the student does not meet exclusionary factors for SLD (D. = NO) and cannot be found eligible for Special Education is a student with a SLD.) 11. IDEA Exclusionary Factors: | | | | |
| | Student's difficulties are primarily the result of a visual, hearing, or motor disability. Student's difficulties are primarily the result of an intellectual disability. Student's difficulties are primarily due to an emotional disturbance. Student's difficulties are primarily due to cultural factors. Student's difficulties are primarily due to environmental or economic disadvantage. Student's difficulties are primarily due to limited English proficiency. | Yes No | | | |
| | D. The student <u>meets exclusionary factors</u> . | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| Ε. | The <u>evaluation is sufficiently comprehensive</u> to appropriately identify all of the student's special education and related services, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| F. | The PPT has reviewed Section I. Required Evaluation Components and Section II. Eligibility Criteria and has determined, in accordance with IDEA § 300.306(c)(1), that the student has a Specific Learning Disability (SLD) <i>in the area identified below</i> , and requires special education and/or related services. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| | Academic skill area(s) the student is not achieving adequately: | | | | |
| | | ritten expression asic reading skills | | | |

July 2022 Page **3** of **4**

Multidisciplinary Evaluation Report for Students Suspected of Having a Specific Learning Disability

Student Name: DOB:

| | (To be completed if the PPT has determ skills.) | ls, and/or basic reading | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Specification of Type of Reading Disability: ☐ mixed reading difficulties (MRD) ☐ specific reading comprehension difficulties (SRCD) ☐ specific word-recognition difficulties (SWRD) | | | | | | |
| | (To be completed if the PPT has determined that the student has a SWRD.) | | | | | | |
| | The PPT has determined that | the student has Specific | c Learning Disability/Dyslexia. | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| G. | The IQ/discrepancy (ability/achievement) model was not used to determine eligibility. | | | | | | |
| Н. | A disorder in one of the basic psychological processes in understanding or using spoken or written language was no required as part of the eligibility decision. | | | | | | |
| Se | ction III: Specific Learning I | Disability Eligibility De | etermination | | | | |
| deto | ermination that the student has a d/or related services: | a specific learning disabilit | tion presented and has made the y and requires special education | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| rep | | | whether this report reflects the member wher's statement presenting the member | | | | |
| | Title | Name | Agree (Yes/No) If no, reas | ons and conclusions | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | Yes No | | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | Yes No | | | | |
| | | | Yes No | | | | |
| | | | Yes No | | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | | |
| | | | │ □ Yes □ No │ | | | | |

] Yes 🗌 No

July 2022 Page **4** of **4**